UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	USDC SDNY DOCUMENT ELECTRONICALLY FILED
PRINCE RODGERS, Plaintiff, v.	DOC#: DATE FILED: [-7-20
CITY OF YONKERS; DETECTIVE MICHELLE PICIULLI; and DETECTIVE NEAL CROMWELL,	: <u>ORDER</u> : : 19 CV 5358 (VB) :
Defendants.	; ;

Plaintiff, who is proceeding <u>pro se</u> and <u>in forma pauperis</u>, commenced this action by filing a complaint dated March 13, 2019. (Doc. #2). At that time, plaintiff was incarcerated at the Franklin Correctional Facility. (See <u>id</u>. at ECF 1).

On August 19, 2019, plaintiff filed a Notice of Change of Address, stating his updated address as Prince Rodgers "c/o Erwin's Barbershop, 255 Grove Street, White Plains, N.Y. 10601." (Doc. #18).

On January 3, 2019, the Court received notice that its November 25, 2019, Order deeming unopposed defendant Westchester County's motion to dismiss (Doc. #28), which was mailed to plaintiff, was returned as undeliverable with the following notation: "Return to sender attempted—not known unable to forward."

As the Court stated in its Order of Service dated July 22, 2019 (Doc. #9), it is plaintiff's responsibility to notify the Court in writing if his address changes, and the Court may dismiss the action if plaintiff fails to do so.

The July 22 Order of Service was mailed to plaintiff, along with an "Instructions for Litigants Who Do Not Have Attorneys" pamphlet and a blank "Notice of Change of Address" form. (Doc. #10). Like the July 22 Order of Service, the Instructions pamphlet also states it is plaintiff's responsibility to notify the Court in writing if his address changes, and the Court may dismiss the action if plaintiff fails to do so. (See id. at ECF 2).

Accordingly, by January 28, 2020, plaintiff must update the Court in writing as to his current address. Failure to comply with the Court's Order may result in dismissal of the action for failure to prosecute or comply with Court orders. Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

The Clerk is directed to mail a copy of this Order to plaintiff at the address on the docket.

The Court certifies under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3) that any appeal from this Order would not be taken in good faith, and therefore in forma pauperis status is denied for the purpose of an appeal. Cf. Coppedge v. United States, 369 U.S. 438, 444–45 (1962).

Dated: January 7, 2020

White Plains, NY

SO ORDERED:

Vincent L. Briccetti

United States District Judge